BEFORE THE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of)	
James Olshove, D.C. License No.: 2764)))	FINAL ORDER BY DEFAULT DISCIPLINARY ACTION
Licensee.)	Case # 2006-5001

The Board of Chiropractic Examiners (Board) is the state agency responsible for licensing, regulating and disciplining chiropractic physicians in the State of Oregon. James Olshove, D.C. (Licensee), is licensed by the Board to practice as a chiropractic physician in the State of Oregon.

Findings of Fact

The Board received results of an investigation by the Oregon Board of Massage Therapists (OBMT) in which their staff called Licensee's office and made a massage appointment. Their investigator then presented as Client # 1, and without being examined by Licensee, was provided a full body massage by Licensee's CCA that was slightly under one hour in length. After the massage Client # 1 paid the CCA \$50 in cash. At no time did Client # 1 see Licensee in a patient/doctor manner. Nor did the CCA ever indicate he was going to discuss Client # 1's care with Licensee before the massage.

In response to an OBMT subpoena Licensee provided a copy of Client # 1's patient file. This file included an examination form signed by Licensee and dated Thursday, December 15, 2005. This examination form details subjective complaints following by "General Physical Examination" and "Objective Evaluation" with postural evaluation findings, and "palpation evaluation" with the following entry:

Trigger Point Studies: The left trapezius muscle group disclosed moderate muscle spasms. The right trapezius muscle group elicited moderate muscle spasms. The left rhomboid muscle group revealed moderate muscle spasms. The right rhomboid muscle group disclosed moderate muscle spasms. Palpating the left mid scapular muscles revealed moderate muscle spasms. The right mid scapular muscles disclosed moderate muscle spasms.

The examination form goes on to say "Client #1 was diagnosed with the following: Spasm of muscle (728.85)" and outlined her "Future Treatment Plan."

-6

Patient information in the aforementioned examination form is contradicted by the daily typed chart note entry in SOAP format, which states, "S: Patient presents with soreness in the upper back and neck and is looking to have massage therapy. O: Bilateral moderate spasms of the Trapezius, Teres and Rhomboid groups. A: Low clinical decision making, visual exam no charge – Wellness massage 60 min. P: patient to return next week for another session on upper back and neck if needed."

3.

Therapy (CCA) typed chart notes for SOAP, indicate under "A: 20 minutes BL Trapezius, 20 minutes BL Teres, 20 minutes BL Rhomboids – Per doctor's orders" Client #1 stated she received a full body wellness massage, which is not indicated in the therapy chart notes. In a letter to the Board, Licensee states, "It was not a massage, it was muscle work. However, my billing program states massage as the term 'muscle work therapy' is too long and does not fit."

5.

4.

On April 3, 2006, Licensee spoke with OBCE Executive Director Dave McTeague regarding the OBMT investigation and at one point Licensee said, "She's not a patient of mine, but that's OK." McTeague then told him that might be a problem, and Licensee said he "had paperwork on her, and that she was a patient." Licensee's statements are contradictory and diminish their credibility.

On June 1, 2006, the Notice of Disciplinary Action was served on Licensee. The notice indicated he needed to respond within 30 days. It also indicated if Licensee failed to request a hearing, that a default order would be taken and the record of the board would be relied on for evidence in the default order. By July 1, 2006, Licensee did not request a hearing. On July 7, 2006 the Board received written communication from Licensee requesting a hearing.

Conclusions of Law

The Board finds that conduct described above is a violation of the clinical justification rule OAR 811-015-0010 (3) and ORS 684.100(1)(g)(A) in that Licensee failed to perform a minimally acceptable chiropractic examination and specifically did not perform a PARTS examination; OAR 811-010-0095 (2)(a) for failing to take a minimally acceptable history; ORS 684.100(1)(g)(A) OAR 811-035-0015(12) "perpetrating fraud upon patients...relating to the practice of chiropractic" for falsified patient chart notes; and OAR 811-010-0110 (15) "The service of the Certified Chiropractic Assistant is the direct responsibility of the licensed Chiropractic Physician."

In determining the final action in this case the Board considered Licensee's claim that he did not think or did not know the Clinical Justification Rule applied to wellness care. The plain language of OAR 811-015-0010 (3) states, "All initial examinations (emphasis added) and subsequent re-examinations performed by a chiropractor to determine the need for chiropractic treatment of neuro-musculoskeletal conditions shall include a functional chiropractic analysis. Some combination of the following PARTS exam constitutes a functional chiropractic analysis.." The recent public discussions regarding the Clinical Justification Rule centered on Section (4) requirements for "curative" chiropractic care which does not include wellness care. Sections 1-3 of OAR 811-015-0010 apply to all types of chiropractic care, while Section 4 includes language specific to "curative" chiropractic care. The Board reiterates that a functional chiropractic analysis including some combination of the PARTS exam is required as part of all initial examinations and subsequent reexaminations. The Board further finds that Licensee failed to timely request a hearing and pursuant to

ORS 183.415 it is appropriate to enter a default order.

Disciplinary Action

Due to the aforementioned violations, the OBCE issues a Letter of Reprimand to the Licensee and require him to pay a civil penalty in the sum of \$1500 to the Board within 30 days of this final order. Licensee must take and achieve a passing score on the NBCE Ethics and Boundary Examination at the earliest opportunity.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED this 2nd day of August 2006.

BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS State of Oregon

Original signature on file at the OBCE office.

Dave McTeague, Executive Director

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

20 21

24 25 26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

A party is entitled to judicial review of the Final Order. Judicial review is by the Oregon Court of Appeals pursuant to the provisions of ORS 183.482. Judicial review may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Office of State Court Administrator, Supreme Court Building, Salem, Oregon 97310. ORS 183.482 requires that an appeal is requested by filing a petition in the Court of Appeals within 60 days following the date the order upon which the

petition is based is served.

1 2			
3	State of Oregon)	Case # 2006-5001
4	County of Marion)	James Olshove DC
5			
6			
7	I, Dave McTeague, being first of	duly sworn,	state that I am the Executive Director of the
. 8	Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examin	ers, and as s	uch, am authorized to verify pleadings in this
. 9	case: and that the foregoing Final Orde	r by Default	t is true to the best of my knowledge as I verily
10	believe.		
11		Origin	nal signature on file
12			the OBCE office.
1.3	Ī	ave McTeag	gue, Executive Director
1.5			d of Chiropractic Examiners
15			
16			
17			
18	S	UBSCRIBE	D AND SWORN to before me
19	tl	nis	day of <u>August</u> , 2006
20	। । इ.स. १९५१ १८८४	Oriair	nal signature on file
21			the OBCE office.
22	$\sim \frac{1}{N}$		BLIC FOR OREGON
23	M	ly Commissi	ion Expires: _//- 5 - 08
24			
25	•	Γ	OFFICIAL SEAL
26			NOTATION NO. 385081

Certificate of Service I, Dave McTeague, certify that on August 2, 2006 I served the foregoing Final Order by Default upon the party hereto by mailing, certified mail, postage prepaid, a true, exact and full copy thereof to: 7. James Olshove, DC 6035 SW 185th Ave Beaverton, Oregon 97007-6338 Original signature on file at the OBCE office. Dave McTeague **Executive Director** Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examiners