### BEFORE THE

### BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS

#### STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of the license of )
WINSTON MORROW, D.C. ) FINAL ORDER
IN CONTESTED CASE
Licensee. )

THIS MATTER came on for hearing at the duly noted time and place, 9:00 a.m., May 28, 1992, at Room 140 of the State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street, Portland, Oregon 97232, in response to a Notice of Assessment of Civil Penalty against Winston Morrow, D.C. (Morrow), a chiropractic physician licensed by the Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examiners (Board). Morrow had been noticed by the Board that it would assess a civil penalty in the amount of \$5000 for overtreatment and overutilization as alleged in the Notice.

Board members present were Gary Zimmerman, D.C., Presiding Officer, Mitzi Naucler, and Bonnie McDowell, D.C. Paul J. Sundermier, Assistant Attorney General, presented the case for the State of Oregon. Morrow was represented by Dean Heiling, Attorney at Law, Portland, Oregon.

Prior to the hearing, on motion of the State, the Notice of Civil Penalty was amended by interlineation to correct a typographical error concerning the statutory violation, which was amended to read ORS 684.100(1)(q). Licensee did not object and it was so amended.

27 ///

б

PAGE 1 -FINAL ORDER IN CONTESTED CASE (WINSTON MORROW, D.C.)

'8

After hearing all the evidence and receiving the exhibits, the Board heard the argument of counsel and closed the hearing. The Board then deliberated in Executive Session and thereafter announced in open public session the following:

## FINDINGS OF FACT .

- 1. Dr. Morrow treated 85 times from May 18, 1989 through December 4, 1989.
- 2. Dr. Morrow treated patient 10 times from February 10, 1990 through August 29, 1990.
- month prior to receiving a denial letter from Worker's Compensation. After that time, Dr. Morrow treated the patient an average of 4 times per month until December 4, 1989 when he stopped treating her until February 20, 1990. Then he treated an average of one and one-half times per month until the last treatment, August 29, 1990.
- 4. Patient records did not indicate any re-examination procedures that would justify such a change in treatment regime.

  PAGE 2 FINAL ORDER IN CONTESTED CASE (WINSTON MORROW D.C.)

7

3

4

11

12

10

1,3 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

6

27

- 5. It is usual to re-evaluate a patient after an initial program of treatment and Dr. Morrow said his initial program of treatment would last six weeks. He expected that this patient would probably require two to three six-week treatment programs.
- Dr. Morrow used the same physiotherapy treatments during the entire course of the treatment.
- Dr. Morrow failed to keep complete and detailed records including re-evaluations or comparisons that may have supported continued treatment or an alteration of treatment.
- Dr. Morrow relied upon the patient's subjective reports to him rather than conducting objective re-evaluations for management of her case.
- The patient's subjective reports cannot substitute for the doctor's professional evaluation and judgement.
- The Board of Chiropractic Examiners referred the case to 10. Peer Review, gave Dr. Morrow a written notice and Dr. Morrow testified that he received the written notice.
- 11. Dr. Morrow did not attend either of the Peer Review meetings as scheduled, although he called the Board office on the dates he was scheduled to appear to say that he would not attend.
- At the time of the Peer Review meetings, Dr. Morrow was suffering from severe business, financial and personal stresses which resulted in physical and emotional illness.

# ULTIMATE FINDINGS OF FACT

Morrow's treatment of patient was 1. excessive when it was continued without objective rationale. PAGE 3 - FINAL ORDER IN CONTESTED CASE (WINSTON MORROW, D.C.)

2. Dr. Morrow violated OAR 811-15-010(1) and (2) in that he
failed to keep a complete and detailed chart for patient
3. Dr. Morrow failed to appear before the Peer Review
Committee two separate times.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Dr. Winston Morrow violated ORS 684.100(1)(q) and OAR 811-15-
010(1) and (2)(a) which state "rationale must be shown for all
repetitive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures" and "treatment
continued to the same extent over a prolonged period of time for a
condition without favorable patient response shall be considered
excessive".
His failure to appear before the Peer Review Committee is
mitigated by his physical and emotional illness which he was
suffering at the time.
ORDER
The Board imposes a civil penalty of \$3000 to be paid by July
15, 1992, for violating ORS 684.100(1)(q) and OAR 811-15-010(1) and
(2)(a).
DATED this, 1992
OREGON BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS
Original signature on file at the OBCE office.
Christie Joachim Executive Director
NOTICE: You are entitled to judicial review of this Final Order pursuant to the provisions of ORS 183.480. Judicial review may be obtained by filing a petition in the Oregon Court of Appeals. The petition must be filed within 60 days from the date of service of this Final Order.

PAGE 4 - FINAL ORDER IN CONTESTED CASE (WINSTON MORROW, D.C.)

1		CER	TIFICATE	OF MAII	LING			
2	The above	Final Or	der was	mailed	by first	class	mail to	
3	Winston Morrow,	D.C. at	845	5.8.	122	AVE.		
4	FORTOWN	9,2 EGG.	ب		, on	he <u>22</u>	مرير day	
5	Of June		, 1992.					
6	<del>-</del>				ignature or		v - v ·	
7			· .	at the	OBCE office	e. -		
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16					·			
17								
18								
19							•	
20								
21								
22								
23							•	
24			•		,			
25								
26								
27					/*************************************	MODDOM.	D C \	
28	PAGE 5 - FINAL	ORDER IN	CONTESTE	D CASE	(MINSTON )	MOKKOW,	ITEM# PAGE	<u>!</u>