BEFORE THE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS STATE OF OREGON

)

))

)

In the Matter of

Todd Hansen DC

License No. 3045

STIPULATED FINAL ORDER

Case # 2009-3024, 2010-1019, 2011-1028, 2011-1046, 2011-1048, 2012-1001, 2012-1003, 2012-1004, 2012-1005, 2012-1006, 2012-1007, 2012-1011, 2012-1022, 2012-1033, 2012-2000, 2012-3001

The Board of Chiropractic Examiners (Board) is the state agency responsible for licensing, regulating and disciplining chiropractic physicians in the State of Oregon. Todd Hansen DC (Licensee) is licensed by the Board to practice as a chiropractic physician in the State of Oregon.

Findings of Fact 1.

On October 26, 2011, the Board issued an Amended Notice of Proposed Disciplinary Action for Revocation against Licensee. In the notice, there were several allegations of violations in regards to violations of the Board's previous order; several allegations that Licensee caused physical injury to patients during treatment, and unprofessional conduct in relations to inappropriate sexual contact or remarks to patients he provided treatment to. The Board hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 5 of the Amended Notice issued on that date and asserts that those are the findings they rely on in the issuance of this final order.

Conclusions of Law

2.

The Board finds that Licensee committed the following violations of conduct described in the Amended Notice of Discipline as to paragraph 1, this violates ORS 684.100 (1)(f) and (p); OAR 811-035-0015(3), (10) and (23) and 811-010-0110(5) and (6). For conduct alleged in paragraph 2 this violates ORS 684.100(f)(A) and (C), (q), OAR 811-035-0015(6). For conduct alleged in paragraph 3, this violates ORS 684.100(1)(f)(A) and OAR 811-035-0015(1)(a) through (e). For conduct alleged in paragraph 4, this violates ORS 684.100(f)(A), and OAR 811-035-0015(9) and (13). For conduct alleged in paragraph 5 this violates ORS 684.100(f)(A) and OAR 811-015-0005(1) and (3).

Stipulations

3.

Therefore, pursuant to ORS 183.415(5) and ORS 684.100(9)(e) the OBCE orders:

- 1. The parties have agreed to enter this stipulated final order. Licensee agrees that he is aware of his right to a hearing with his attorney present to contest the charges and hereby waives that right and agrees to entry of this order. Licensee agrees to waive any right to appeal. In lieu of proceeding to the contested case hearing scheduled for October 3, 2012, the parties wish to settle and resolve the above matter without further proceedings.
- 2. Licensee agrees to immediately surrender his license to practice chiropractic in the State of Oregon. Licensee agrees not to renew or reapply for Oregon licensure for a period of four years after this order becomes final.
- 3. Licensee agrees if he ever should reapply for licensure with the Board, that the Board has discretion pursuant to ORS 684.100(9), 684.040(2)(a) and 670.280, to require additional conditions be met by Licensee prior to licensure, which may include license limitations. The Board will review the application based on the facts of this case and any new information the Board has in their possession which bear a relationship to Licensee's qualifications for licensure at that time.
- 4. If Licensee violates any of the terms of this order, it may result in further discipline pursuant to ORS 684.100.
- 5. Signature of this agreement in no way guarantees that the Board agrees to relicense Licensee at any time in the future, or in any way would limit the Board from placing conditions on a future license.
- 6. The Board agrees to waive assessment of costs for the disciplinary proceeding against Licensee in this case.

7. This order is effective on the date signed by the Board and is a public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED this _____ day of September, 2012.

BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS State of Oregon Original Signatures are on file at OBCE office

9/12/2012 By: Dave McTeague, Executive. Director Original Signatures are on file at OBCE office By: Todd Hansen, D.C. 2012 Dated: 9

Stipulated Final Order Page 3 of 4

1 2 3			BEFORE CHIROPRA FATE OF (ACTIC EXAMINERS
4				
5				
6	In the Matter	of)	AMENDED
7)	NOTICE OF PROPOSED
8	Todd Hansen	DC)	DISCIPLINARY ACTION
9		~ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,)	
10		License No. 3045)	
11)	
12 13	÷)	Case # 2010-1019, 2011-1028
13				
15	The B	oard of Chiropractic Ex	aminers (Boa	rd) is the state agency responsible for
16	licensing, reg	ulating and disciplining	chiropractic r	bhysicians in the State of Oregon. Todd
17	Hansen DC (I	Licensee) is licensed by	the Board to r	practice as a chiropractic physician in the State
18	of Oregon. T	he Board proposes to di	scipline Licen	see for the following reasons.
19	-		•	6
20			1.	
21	A.			red a Proposed Notice of Discipline on
22				rain or supervise various Chiropractic
23				during November and December 2009. On
24 25				pulated Final Order with the Board which
25 26				7 the laws regarding Chiropractic Assistant OAR 811-035-0015. The Order also required
27				in his license that all assistants must be trained
28				nust be certified prior to beginning
29		employment with him	or his clinic.	The Order also stated that failure to complete
30				further discipline. (Case Number 2009-3024)
31			•	
32	B.	After the Stipulated Fi	nal Order was	executed in July 2010, Licensee continued to
33				ic Assistants provided care to patients. No
34		other chiropractor was	supervising the	he assistants. On September 20, 2010, Patient
35				er Licensee left the clinic for the day. In
36			nt 2 received of	e-stim from a CA when Licensee was at
37		lunch.		
38 39	C	Patient 3 had cald loss	r tharony and	had soveral contra moming one sinter and it
40	C.	Licensee When she w	r merapy and rould arrive at	had several early morning appointments with the office, Licensee was not yet there. The
41				ssist Patient 3 with her therapy prior to
				association of which her therapy prior to

1 2 3		Licensee's arrival, or often the patient would start the machine herself and would be billed for that therapy.
4 5 6 7 8 9	D.	Since signing the stipulated order in July 2010, Licensee was OFTEN late for work each morning, even if patients were scheduled. For patients that were scheduled at 8 a.m., Licensee would OFTEN come in at 8:15 a.m. or later. Often, a Chiropractic Assistant would begin the therapy work when the patient arrived, prior to Licensee's arrival. The Assistant would not be supervised by any chiropractic physician during that time.
10 11 12 13	E.	In August 2010, Licensee allowed Staff 1 to provide spinal screenings in a booth at the Douglas County Fair and Staff 1 staffed the booth alone without any direct supervision, while he was at the clinic.
14 15 16	F.	Licensee has violated his Stipulated Order entered into on July 21, 2010
17		2.
18		D (i (A) (11) Linear in 2005 for corrical adjustments and accord
19	A.	Patient 4 was treated by Licensee in 2005 for cervical adjustments and ceased
20		going to him for treatment when he hurt her neck when doing a cervical
21		adjustment.
22	п	Deticut 5 must to Licensee in 2000 for a gavera healt injury and said that Licensee
23	В.	Patient 5 went to Licensee in 2008 for a severe back injury and said that Licensee was very forceful and rough in his treatment of him. He described it as Licensee
24		"throwing me around." After he had received treatment, he was almost unable to
25		walk and had to seek emergency care from his medical doctor the next day.
26		Patient 5 was told by his medical doctor that his back had been damaged further
27		by Licensee's care. Patient 5 never returned to Licensee for treatment.
28		by Licensee's care. Tatient's never returned to Electisee for treatment.
29	C	Patient 6 was seeking treatment in 2003 and was injured by a cervical treatment
30	C.	administered by Licensee. She still suffers from neck pain, migraines and muscle
31		
32 33		spasms.
33	р	Patient 7, also a chiropractor, went to see Licensee for a neck adjustment in 2007.
35	D.	He said his neck was severely wrenched in a very aggressive treatment. The
36	-	treatment hurt his jaw so much that he could not chew meat for several weeks.
37		acament nart mo just so much that no could not enew moat for several works.
38	F	Staff 2 recalled how she watched as a patient had received a broken rib during a
39	<i>L</i> .	session of treatment from Licensee. Staff 2 also had her own neck adjustments
40		from Licensee and had her neck severely wrenched so she stopped going to
40		Licensee.
41		
τ2		

1 2 3 4	F.	Several staff had patients complain to them that their adjustments from Licensee had caused pain or injury. The forcefulness and aggressive nature of the adjustments made the staff fearful of him injuring patients.
5 6 7 8 9		Patient 8 was treated by Licensee several times. At one point, Licensee hurt her neck so she stopped getting treatment. She complained that Licensee would rush her treatment, never warming up or loosening the cervical area before the adjustment.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	H.	Patient 9 received treatments in 2008 that were very rough and Licensee ignored her when she told him that she had difficulty swallowing and her fingers were numb. Once, Licensee injured her collarbone during a treatment. This was confirmed by subsequent treating physicians who told her that the collarbone was frozen. Patient 9 still has neck and shoulder pain.
15 16 17 18	I.	Patient 10 sought treatment in 2009 and her pain would get worse with each treatment. She did not progress so she stopped going to Licensee.
19 20 21 22	J.	Patient 11 saw Licensee in early 2010 and had a treatment that made it hurt to breathe for a week. She could barely dress herself and could not wear a bra. She told Licensee on a subsequent visit that he had injured her and he did not apologize.
23 24 25	K.	Patient 12 said that her treatments were very rough and that sometimes she was sore for several days after receiving treatment from Licensee.
26 27 28 29 30 31	L.	Patient 13 even told Licensee to adjust her slowly and to let her muscles relax prior to doing the adjustment, but he wouldn't listen. For three years she said he was "way too rough." Patient 13 said she practically had to scream at him not to rush the treatment. On one occasion he wrenched her tense neck so severely that she thought she was going to pass out.
32 33 34	M.	Patient 14 said her treatments were very rough and that he would put all his weight into it.
35 36 37 38	N.	Patient 15 had neck adjustments while she was staff in 2009 and found the adjustments to be very forceful. She had migraines after the treatment; something she had never had prior.
39404142	0.	Patient 16 sought care from Licensee in September 2009 for a motor vehicle accident. During a treatment, Licensee caused so much pain while adjusting her that she cried out in pain indicating to Licensee that he had hurt her. During that

treatment Licensee also dug his knuckles into her spine area and Patient 16 again told him that it was hurting her physically when he did that.

P. Patient 22 was an 84 year old woman who had a plate in her neck and was seen approximately 9 times from December 2010 through June 2011. Patient 22 told Licensee about her neck issues and when he adjusted her he would put his entire body weight down on her causing the breath to be taken out of her and hurt her chest. Patient 22 also had a pace maker in her chest and Licensee would use a large amount of force on her chest near the pace maker location. Patient 22 felt that the treatments which she received were very rough and in the last treatment on June 6, 2011, she believed that she was injured. During the treatment she said that she cried out in pain and could barely walk after the treatment she received.

3.

- A. Patient 17 saw Licensee in 2006-2007 as a patient. On the first visit, Licensee made her uncomfortable by telling her he liked her shirt and complimenting how muscular she was. On the second visit, Licensee asked her a lot of personal, non-medical questions and started to come into her space, getting very close to her during treatment. He said to her "I'll bet you are really good at volleyball, maybe I could get private lessons from you." Patient 17 felt like Licensee was outwardly flirting with her. Licensee then showed up at one of Patient 17's volleyball matches. She had not invited him to do so. On one visit when Patient 17 had her 14 year old son with her, Licensee asked her personal questions and stood very close to her. While she was standing at the front desk Licensee rubbed her shoulders and asked her what type of perfume she was wearing. Her son commented how inappropriate Licensee had been toward his mother to her. Patient 17 discontinued further treatment due to her discomfort with his behavior.
- B. Patient 9 saw Licensee in 2005-2006. Licensee was overly complimentary to her from the first visit, commenting on her muscular back and shoulders. He would even invite passing staff to look at her shoulders. During one treatment, Licensee slapped Patient 9 on the bottom while she was lying on her side. She sat up suddenly and told him never to do that again. Licensee was speechless but did not apologize.
- C. Patient 18 saw Licensee in 2009. After 6 visits or so, Licensee began to stand too close to her, was very flirtatious and always over complimented her on her appearance. He told her she was "so pretty," continually commenting on her hair and clothes. Licensee would be providing treatment to her and would leave his hand on her thigh while he continued to talk to her. He would also let his hand linger on her neck after adjusting her. Patient 18 also noticed that Licensee would drape his body over hers while performing treatments, which was not something

she experienced with other physicians' chiropractic treatments. She was so 1 concerned she sought advice from other health professionals who advised her to 2 stop seeing Licensee. 3 4 D. Patient 19 was a patient in 2002. During a treatment Licensee slapped her on the 5 bottom and Patient 19 was so upset, she stopped going to him because of this. 6 7 E. Patient 20 saw him in approximately 2005. Licensee always commented on her 8 appearance, saying she was very muscular. This made Patient 20 very 9 uncomfortable. 10 11 F. Patient 21 has seen Licensee as a patient since January 2010. Between April and 12 July of 2010, Licensee and Patient 21 exchanged over 200 calls or texts between 13 their cell phones. Licensee and Patient 21 also confided in each other almost daily 14 and socialized with one another. Patient 21 admitted that she did not see anything 15 wrong with a patient dating their doctor. In an interview, Licensee admitted to 16 the Board that he had started a sexual relationship with this patient and during 17 September 2010 he and Patient 21 were sexually intimate on at least two 18 occasions. Licensee also admitted that he knew he was in violation of 19 administrative rules regarding boundaries with patients, and further stated that he 20 had not terminated the doctor-patient relationship with Patient 21 prior to the 21 relationship with her. 22 23 24 G. Various staff have also been treated by Licensee as patients. Staff 3 was a patient 25 first in 2004 and staff in 2005. Licensee solicited her for a sexual relationship 26 while she was a patient and then a staff member. Staff 3 said they kissed and 27 embraced in a treatment room. Licensee would spend extended time with her as a 28 patient and would often rub her shoulders and the top of her chest area and below 29 her beltline on her back. Licensee would often text her, trying to get her into a 30 sexual relationship with him and wanted her to confide in him about the status of 31 her marriage. Licensee was often inappropriate during treatments, commenting on 32 her appearance, and telling her how sexy she looked. During one treatment, he 33 cornered her in the x-ray room and shut the door, saying "what do you want to do? 34 Too bad we are not alone." Licensee wrote her a note stating "I really want to be 35 with you, I hope this happens soon." Licensee often asked her to administer 36 therapy to him, such as ultrasound, and have her close the door. Licensee later 37 fired Staff 3, telling her his wife made him do so. Licensee then asked Staff 3 to 38 meet him at a park telling her it was about future employment, where he tried to 39 convince her to go to a motel room with him. Licensee tried to hug and kiss her 40 while talking in the car. 41 42

H. Staff 4 was first a patient for two months in 2008 and then became staff that same 1 year. She was also his babysitter for his children. During treatment, Licensee 2 asked her to lift up her shirt so he could see her muscular abdominals and back 3 muscles. Over time, Licensee became more touchy and overly complimentary of 4 her appearance. One time he commented "how good you look, I want to go for a 5 ride in your car with you sometime." Licensee texted Staff 4 about her tanned 6 body, writing "Heels, abs, tanned, attractive, 21. Do you know anyone resembling 7 these characteristic(s)?." Staff 4 never went back for treatment after this, and was 8 extremely upset and disgusted. One treatment, Staff 4 came wearing a lace shirt 9 and Licensee told her "that would look great with nothing underneath." 10 11 I. Patient 8 also worked for Licensee. Patient 8 says he often would compliment her 12 on her body, her looks or clothing. Licensee lent her his car, and paid her \$500 to 13 quit smoking. Licensee helped her pay for college tuition. 14 15 J. Various staff witnessed the inappropriate compliments to patients, flirting 16 behavior and several staff said that Licensee made comments to them about his 17 patients that were of a sexual nature. 18 19 20 4. 21 A. Licensee has admitted engaging in the use of illicitly prescribed steroids and HGH 22 (Human Growth Hormone) that he received in 2003-2007 from Palm Beach 23 Rejuvenation Center (PBRC) in Florida. Licensee has failed to provide evidence that 24 his 2003-2007 prescriptions for steroids and HGH were legal or valid. Additionally, 25 Licensee initially provided false statements to the OBCE regarding his use of illicit 26 substances. 27 B. Licensee divulged to witnesses how he had used steroids and HGH extensively during 28 this time period. Staff members found drug wrappers in the trash of Licensee's clinic in 29 2005 and told another DC who was working there. Various small packages were sent to 30 the clinic with the names David Smith or David Rodriguez as the addressee. The 31 packages were from Germany and Mexico, Austria and Greece. Staff noted that 32 Licensee always took possession of these packages, and explained to one that they 33 contained steroids and HGH. Staff also found various syringes in Licensee's desk 34 drawer as well as containers thought to be HGH, several of them with pictures of 35 animals on the container. Licensee would order staff to leave the packages from 36 Germany and Greece on the refrigerator for a few days, to see if authorities were 37 tracking the packages. Staff also noticed that Licensee was very moody and prone to 38 angry outbursts. Licensee admitted to other staff that he participated in self injecting of 39 steroids in the clinic. Licensee did not have a valid prescription for testosterone from a 40 legitimate medical provider until 2008. 41 42

1	
2	5.
3	A. For Staff 1, 2, 3 and 4 who also received chiropractic treatment as patients, Licensee
4	did not keep accurate and complete chart notes on the treatment they were provided.
5	In investigation, Licensee admitted that he did not keep ongoing charts when he
6	provided staff with chiropractic care.
7	
8	
9	6.
10	The Board finds that Licensee's conduct during investigation are violations as follows;
11	for conduct described in paragraph 1, this violates ORS 684.100 (1)(f) and (p); OAR 811-035-
12	0015(3), (10) and (23) and 811-010-0110(5) and (6). For conduct alleged in paragraph 2 this
13	violates ORS 684.100(f)(A) and (C), (q), OAR 811-035-0015(6). For conduct alleged in
14	paragraph 3, this violates ORS 684.100(1)(f)(A) and OAR 811-035-0015(1)(a) through (e). For
15	conduct alleged in paragraph 4, this violates ORS 684.100(f)(A), and OAR 811-035-0015(9) and
16	(13). For conduct alleged in paragraph 5 this violates ORS 684.100(f)(A) and OAR 811-015-
17	0005(1) and (3).
18	7.
19	Due to the aforementioned violations, the OBCE proposes to revoke Licensee's license.
20	
21	8.
22	Licensee shall pay costs of this disciplinary proceeding, including investigative costs and
23	attorney fees pursuant to ORS 684.100(9)(g).
24	
25	9.
26	Licensee has the right, if Licensee requests, to have a formal contested case hearing
27	before the Office of Administrative Hearings to contest the matter set out above. At the hearing,
28	Licensee may be represented by an attorney and subpoena and cross examine witnesses. That
29	request for hearing must be made in writing to the OBCE, must be received by the OBCE within
30	30 days from the mailing of this notice (or if not mailed, the date of personal service), and must
31	be accompanied by a written answer to the charges contained in this notice.
32	
33	10.
34	The answer shall be made in writing to the OBCE and shall include an admission or
35	denial of each factual matter alleged in this notice, and a short plain statement of each relevant
36	affirmative defense Licensee may have. Except for good cause, factual matters alleged in this
37	notice and not denied in the answer will be considered a waiver of such defense; new matters
38	alleged in this answer (affirmative defenses) shall be presumed to be denied by the agency and
39	evidence shall not be taken on any issue not raised in the notice and answer.
40	11
41	11

1 2	given information on the procedures, r	ights	e commencement of that hearing, Licensee will be of representation and other rights of the parties
3 4	relating to the conduct of the hearing a	is requ	uned under OKS 183.413-413.
5			
6			12.
7	If Licensee fails to request a he	earing	within 30 days, or fails to appear as scheduled at the
8		-	by default and impose the above sanctions against
9	Licensee. Upon default order of the B	oard	or failure to appear, the contents of the Board's file
10	regarding the subject of this automatic	ally b	become part of the evidentiary record of this
11	disciplinary action upon default for the	e purp	pose of proving a prima facie case.
12	•		· .
13			
14	DATED October 26, 2011.		
15			
16			RD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS
17	S	State of	of Oregon
18			Original signatures on file at OBCE office
19	T	D	
20	1	By:	Dave McTeague, Executive Director
21			Dave MCTeague, Executive Director
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
20			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	County of Marion) Case # 2010-1019, 2011-1028
9	
10	
11	I, Dave McTeague, being first duly sworn, state that I am the Executive Director of the
12	Board of Chiropractic Examiners of the State of Oregon, and as such, am authorized to verify
13	pleadings in this case: and that the foregoing Notice is true to the best of my knowledge as I verily
14	believe.
15	Original signatures on file at OBCE office_
16	
17	DAVE MCTEAGUE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
18	OREGON BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS
19	
20	
21	
22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me
23	this 25th day of Aber, 2011.
24	Original signatures on file at OBCE office
25	
26	NOTARY PUBLIC FOR OREGON
27	My Commission Expires: 1072015
28	
29	A CONSTRUCTION OF A CONSTRUCTI
30	OFFICIAL SEAL KELLY J BERINGER
31	NOTARY PUBLIC - OREGON
32	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES OCTOBER 07. 2015
33	

Amended Notice of Proposed Disciplinary Action, Case # 2010-1019, 2011-1028 (Todd Hansen DC) Page 9.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Certificate of Service
6	
7	I, Dave McTeague, certify that on October 26, 2011, I served the foregoing Amended
8	Notice of Proposed Disciplinary Action upon the party hereto by mailing, certified mail, postage
9	prepaid, a true, exact and full copy thereof to:
10	
11	
12	Todd Hansen, DC
13	Pacific Crest Chiropractic & Wellness Center
14	2270 NW Troost St.
15	Roseburg, OR 97471
16	
17	
18	By regular mail to:
19	
20	Charles E. Bolen, Attorney at Law
21	Hornecker, Cowling, Hassen & Heysell, L.L.P.
22	717 Murphy Road
23	Medford, Oregon 97504
24	
25	Original signatures on file at OBCE office
26	
27	
28	Dave McTeague
29	Executive Director
30	Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examiners
31	
32	
33	
34	